Legally securing community lands

Principles & Paths

Liz Alden Wily
Independent land tenure specialist

<u>lizaldenwily@gmail.com</u>

1. PRINCIPLES

- 1. Community lands = community *governed* lands
- 2. The law matters but is not enough on its own
- 3. Correctly nesting the challenge is needed to grasp the challenges
- 4. Facing up to the conundrums e.g. balancing private & communal interests
- 5. Lawful dispossession reaches deeply into the community
- 6. Equal but different

- 7. Ownership not use rights
- 8. Not just whose rights but who controls
- 9. Tenure reform is not the only route
- 10. Going local is key half-way houses are not enough
- 11. Time to challenge PA norms 2 billion ha of best CL is under state protection
- 12. Looking forward, not back
- 13. Learning by doing makes good law

- 14. Moving beyond trust arrangements
- 15. Adopting a holistic approach: 6 elements
- 16. Stretching the boundaries: oil & water
- 17. No success without political empowerment
- 18. Grasping the nettle: the time is right
- 19. No one path: usually complex interventions
- 20. Constructs & paths inseparable from land use systems
- 21. There is a lot to build on & lessons to be learned

2. PATTERNS

- 1. SIMPLE DOMAIN: All land area is community property and community seeks single title for whole area in undivided shares (e.g. hunter-gatherers, indigenous peoples).
- 1. DUAL DOMAIN: Community area divides into private & collective parcels, the former independently alienable, the latter non-alienable community property (major tenure pattern today).
- 1. DOUBLE RIGHTS: Community holds root title and issues usufructs to individual families: "2 hats" (also dominant).

4. CLIENTAGE: chief external to community owns & controls lands; strictly *usufructs at local will*.

5. COMPLEX: individual parcels, community owns near lands, *access* rights to larger lands, owned by clans or tribes; common in transhumant, pastoralist, agro-pastoral land relations.

3. PLATFORMS

Community Lands I: sustained community-based land & livelihood dependence

Community Lands II: specific to certain assets

Community Lands III: restitution

Community Lands IV: compensation only can now work

Community Lands V: collective tenure to meet modern settlement & urban needs

4. PATHS

- **1. Declaration**: overnight change through legal provision
- 2. Domain approach: CLA boundary-focused
- 3. Governance approach: leads with jurisdiction
- 4. IP approach: native title, ethnically-defined
- 5. Titling approach: case by case
- **6. Back Door approach:** e.g. (a) conservation (b) conflict management (c) limiting undue affects of commercial developments
- 7. Incremental approach: Access, Authority, Tenure

1] Declaration

- Declares that customary rights have force & effect as private property interests
- Changes rights status overnight
- Constitutional protection: legal platform
- Minimal assisting instrument: "discovery" obligations
- IN PRACTICE: works only in strong rule of law sits. & works best when partnered with lawful community jurisdiction system

2] Domain

- Focuses on defining & registering outer boundary of the Community Land Area (CLA) ("shelling")
- Only works when also vest authority over internal lands in the community (*Jurisdiction*)
- Does not solve internal private/collective issues
- Not as useful when most contested lands are beyond "the home area" & in more complex patterns
- Can fail if "community" is defined as tribe/involve vast areas & tenure & authority in remote bodies

3] Jurisdiction

- Endows legal authority over land rights to communities
- Powerful, essential BUT
- Requires legal instruments requiring inclusive
 & accountable decision-making
- Can be self-defeating where chiefs make themselves/are made owners & allocators

4] Native Title

- Recognizes on basis of originality & ethnicity: delivers native title
- Often the easiest target when a tiny minority
- In practice often endows only some rights
- Tends to have less utility outside h/g sector
- Does not easily resolve later arrivals & can exacerbate conflict & deadlock, aiding state retention of tenure
- Mixed reception in Africa

5] Titling

- Communities use statutory systems to secure group rights e.g. multiple names on private titles
- Best when clear constructs for collective entitlement provided
- Necessarily case by case, so slow, expensive
- Disrobes entitlement of customary attributes including community jurisdiction

6] Back door

Such as focusing on a particular resource (e.g. forests), minimizing ill-effects of large-scale developments (e.g. mining, dams) or shifting debate from "who owns?" to "who is being affected?"

- Can be highly useful (demonstrated in forest sector) ("who is the rightful controller?")
- Requires solid discovery process
- Requires substantial compensation packages
- Encourages new shareholding models

7] Incremental

Focuses on securing access rights, then management rights, then tenure

- Establishes platforms and empowerment en route
- Can be too slow & too late; lands exposed
- But can be the only way forward